

1 **Jason K. Singleton, State Bar #166170**
jason@singletonlawgroup.com
2 **Richard E. Grabowski, State Bar #236207**
rgrabowski@mckinleyville.net
3 **SINGLETON LAW GROUP**
4 **611 "L" Street, Suite A**
Eureka, CA 95501
5 **(707) 441-1177**
FAX 441-1533

6 **Attorneys for Plaintiff, ASIS Internet Services**

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 **ASIS INTERNET SERVICES, a California**)
corporation,)

11 **Plaintiff,**)
12 **vs.**)

13 **OPTIN GLOBAL, INC., a Delaware**)
14 **Corporation, also dba Vision Media**)
15 **Limited Corp., USA Lenders Network,**)
16 **USA Lenders, and USA Debt**)
17 **Consolidation Service; Azoogleads.com,**)
18 **et al.,**)

Defendants.)

Case No. C-05-5124 JCS

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE
MEMORANDUM IN EXCESS OF TWENTY-
FIVE PAGE LIMIT; OPPOSITION TO MOTION
FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR IN THE
ALTERNATIVE SUMMARY ADJUDICATION
(PROPOSED ORDER)**

**DATE: March 14, 2008
TIME: 1:30 p.m.
CTRM: 15, 18TH FLOOR**

19 Plaintiff, ASIS INTERNET SERVICES, hereby moves for an order granting leave to file
20 the accompanying OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OR IN THE
21 ALTERNATIVE SUMMARY ADJUDICATION ("Opposition to Summary Judgment") in excess of
22 this Court's twenty-five (25) page limit. In support of this Motion, Plaintiff submits the following
23 Memorandum of Points and Authorities.

24 **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

25 In support of their Motion for Leave to File Memorandum in Excess of Twenty-Five Page
26 Limit, Plaintiffs state the following:

27 1. Local Rule 7-4(b) prohibits parties from filing any memorandum of points and
28 authorities that exceeds twenty-five pages, unless permitted by order of the court.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 2. The issues of law and fact are rather complex as Defendant itself noticed.
- 3. Defendant's Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts set forth all separate "facts."
- 4. Defendant's Motion raises legal issues of near first impressions, which require

some extended discussion.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request that they be permitted to file their Opposition to Summary Judgment in excess of twenty-five (25) pages, but no more that thirty-two (30) pages.

SINGLETON LAW GROUP

Dated: January 31, 2008

/s/ Jason K. Singleton
 Jason K. Singleton,
 Richard E. Grabowski, Attorneys for Plaintiff,
ASIS INTERNET SERVICES

ORDER

After review and consideration, Plaintiff is granted permission to file its Opposition to Summary Judgment brief in excess of 25 pages, but no more that 30 pages.

Dated: _____

 JOSEPH C. SPERO
 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE